

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/816,125	03/26/2001	Hiroyasu Sugano	1405.1040	8745	
21171 7590 09/26/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		NALVEN, ANDREW L			
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
.,	.,		2134		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	_
	\rightarrow
\sim	/)
	~

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercises for many by enablated without the provision of 3° CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed Exercises for many by enablated without the provision of 3° CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply white the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply white the provision of the provision		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Andrew L. Nalven - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (30) days, a reply when he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (30) days, a reply when he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (30) days, a reply when he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (30) days, a reply when he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (30) days, a reply when he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (30) days, and the statutory reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search period than the statutory reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search period than the statutory reply received by the constitution. If the period for this period the statutory reply reply and the period of this communication. If the period for this period than the statutory reply	Office Action Summany	09/816,125					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of time may be evaluated under the provision of 3 or ER 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely find after 50 x (9) MONTHS from the realising date of this communication. It No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the statutory invited may be placed to the communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply replected by this Office above, the maximum statutory period will be statuted part of the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by this Office statute of the provision of the communication of the provision of the set of the communication of the provision of the communication of the provision of the communication o	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. Expensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. Expensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. Expensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed on 15 period of 18			1				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the proteins of 37 CPR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MCNTHS from the making date of this communication. If the protein or brighy specified size is been shared to the communication. If the protein or brighy specified proteins the state of the communication. Follow to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the speciation to become ABANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply recorded by the Office is freigh in three mornisation to the specified to become ABANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply recorded by the Office is freigh in three mornisation that application to become ABANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply recorded by the Office is freight in the mornisation that the set of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any examine patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) □ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 11) □ The coath or declaration is objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.125(d). 11) □ The coath or declaration is objec	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) ○ 4 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ☐ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) ○ 4 and 6-23 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status		,				
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	<u>igust 2007</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This						
A) Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some *c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Terfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)⊠ Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are pending in the app	olication.	·				
Solve Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· _ ·	•	•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	o by the Examiner.				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· -	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		* **	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			•				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Attachment(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					

Application/Control Number: 09/816,125

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-4 and 6-23 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 15 August 2007 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4, 6 and 9-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimar et al US Patent No 5,493,692 in view of Cheng US Patent No. 6,067,548.
- 5. With regards to claims 2 and 23, Theimar teaches the storing of statuses of the users (Theimar, column 7 lines 1-16, Figure 3), the preparing of a processing policy in which processes for communication requests are set for each of the users (Theimar,

Application/Control Number: 09/816,125

Art Unit: 2134

column 14 line 62 - column 15 line 15), the processes each in turn being according to a first user from whom there is a request for communication with a second user (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6), to status of the second user with whom communication is requested (Theimar, column 10 line 67 – column 11 line 3), to content of the requested communication (Theimar, column 14 lines 43-48 and column 14 lines 62-64), the processing policy including an attribute-assigning policy setting a relationship between the first user requesting communication to the second user (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6, "policies restricting responses to a subset of all possible clients", column 7 lines 7-17 "interaction policies"), and storing the attribute-assigning policy (Theimar, column 9 lines 60-67). Theimar also discloses that when a request for communication occurs, there is a step of looking up the attribute-assigning policy and information of the first user and the second user (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 17, "check the current" settings of the user's policy" and "response contains information about the user and/or UserAgent that the client is interested in"), determining and reporting to the communication device a process for the request based, in the policy, on the second user with whom communication is requested is completed (Theimar, column 14 lines 43-48, column 11 lines 6-17), and determining an attribute of a requester based on stored user information or the attribute assigning policy (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-60). Theimar fails to teach said attribute defining a role based relationship between the first user requesting communication with a second user. However, Cheng teaches a attribute defining a role based relationship between the first user requesting communication with a second user (Cheng, column 13 line 34 – column 14 line 30.

Art Unit: 2134

users are assigned different roles that cover relationships among users, relationship name). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Cheng's role based method because it offers the advantage of giving flexibility to organizational modeling and allowing unique dynamic interrelationships among users using regular expressions (Cheng, column 3 lines 15-30).

6. With regards to claims 3, 4, 9, 12-13, and 15-22, Theimar as modified, in addition to the features described above, further teaches a first storing means for storing information related to users (Theimar, column 7 line 65 – column 8 line 4), the processing policy including an attribute-assigning policy setting a relationship between the first user requesting communication to the second user (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6, "policies restricting responses to a subset of all possible clients"), looking up the attribute-assigning policy and information of the first user and the second user (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 17, "check the current settings of the user's policy" and "response contains information about the user and/or UserAgent that the client is interested in"), authentication means for verifying the communication requestor when a request for communication occurs (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6), liaising means for acquiring from the communication device the communication requester, requestee, and content of the communication (Theimar, column 10 line 66 - column 11 line 6 and column 14 lines 43-48). Theimar further teaches an information recording means for accepting input of recording in the first storing means the information related to users (Theimar, column 9 lines 54-64, column 10 lines 3-7), a status recording means for

Application/Control Number: 09/816,125

Art Unit: 2134

accepting input of an recording in the storing means the statuses of the users (Theimar, column 9 line 60 - column 10 line 2), and a policy recording means for accepting input of and recording in the storing means the processing policy (Theimar, column 10 lines 3-7).

- With regards to claims 10, Theimar as modified, in addition to the features 7. described above, further teaches policy recording means accepting input of, and records in the relay terminal (Theimar, column 7 lines 35-40).
- 8. With regards to claims 6 and 11, Theimar as modified, in addition to the features described above, further teaches an inquiry means for inquiring among communication requestee terminals whether to permit the communication request (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 3) and for obtaining a reply to the inquiry (Theimar, column 11 lines 12-17).
- With regards to claims 14, Theimar as modified discloses the administering of 9. information related to statuses of the users (Theimar, column 7 lines 1-16, Figure 3), the storing of users requesting the services, content of the requested services, and status of the users related to the request services correlatively with processes for the service requests (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 17). Theimar further discloses that when a service request has been made by one user (Theimar, Figure 4 Item 126), that statuses of the other users related to the service request are obtained (Theimar, column 10 line 66 - column 11 line 3) and based on the one user who requested a service, on the other users related to the requested service, and on the obtained user

Page 6

Art Unit: 2134

status, the determining of a process for the service request is completed (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 6 and column 14 lines 43-48).

- 10. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimar et al US Patent No 5,493,692 and Cheng US Patent No. 6,067,548, as applied to claim 1 above, and in view of Aggarwal et al US Patent No. 5,943,478.
- 11. With regards to claim 7, Theimar as modified, as described above, teaches a request instructing means for requesting information content related to a terminal from other terminals (Theimar, column 9 lines 7-9), but fails to teach the request occurring if the information is not recorded in the first storing means. Aggarwal teaches the requesting of information content from another terminal if the information content is not stored in the first recording medium (Aggarwal, column 3 lines 41-53). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Aggarwal's information requesting means because it offers the advantage of allowing a terminal to send a message to any other terminal regardless of whether the requesting terminal is known to a terminal previously (Aggarwal, column 1 line 58 column 2 line 2).
- 12. With regards to claim 8, Theimar as modified, fails to teach a peripheral information providing means for providing information content not stored in the first recording means. Aggarwal teaches a peripheral information providing means that provides information content related to terminals to a terminal in order to handle a communication request (Aggarwal, column 3 lines 45-49).

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Nalven whose telephone number is 571 272 3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 2134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571 272 3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Nalven

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER